ORAL HYGIENE HABIT AMONG FIXED ORTHODONTIC TREATMENT PATIENT

Ngena Ria^{1*}, Nelly Kataharina Manurung², Susy Adrianelly Simaremare³
^{1,2,3} Politeknik Kesehatan Kementerian Kesehatan Medan, Medan, Indonesia *Email: ngena_ria@yahoo.com*

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Uses of fixed orthodontic treatment have become popular recently. The purpose of its use is to correct malposition of teeth in order to normalize the masticatory function and to eliminate the accumulation of food remain between the teeth. These will prevent the formation of caries and any periodontal tissue disease. Fixed orthodontic treatment patients must routinely maintain their oral hygienes. Objective: To evaluate the level of oral hygiene and practice among orthodontic patients that have fixed orthodontic treatment. Methods: This study was an analytical survey with cross-sectional design to know the relationship between oral hygiene habit of students using fixed orthodontic treatment and oral hygiene index in PoltekkesKemenkes Medan. Results: The average of Oral Hygiene Index — Simplified (OHI-S) value of students using fixed appliance (2.68) was still above national target which is ≤ 2 , and there was a relationship between behavior in tooth brushing of students using fixed appliance and oral hygiene (p < 0.02). Conclusions: to get good oral hygiene and to prevent caries formation and periodontal disease patients using fixed orthodontic treatment should maintain their dental health.

Keywords: Oral hygiene habit; Fixed orthodontic treatment; Oral hygiene index

INTRODUCTION

Health is a condition which everyone should achieve. According to WHO. health is a state of complete physical. mental and socioeconomic well-being. As stated by Health Law No. 36 of 2009 Subsection 93 paragraph 1 and 2. dental and oral health service is performed to keep and improve the public health level carried out by prevention and treatment of dental disease and dental health rehabilitation which can be done by local government and personal dental health practice, school, and communities.

Health behaviour is a response to stimuli or an object related to disease. The regional health research shows that 80% population have malocclusion (Riskesdas, 2017). Malocclusion can be corrected by uses of fixed appliance. Malocclusion is defined as local misalignment of teeth or jaws mal-relation of sagital, vertical, or transversal line(Baxi S, Shadani K, Kesri R, Ukey A, Joshi C, 2022). In malocclusion, the upper and lower jaws are not in the proper position (Berk NW, Bush HD, Cavalier J, Kapur R, Studen-Pavlovich D, Sciote J, 2022).

A study showed that 85.58% of 2074 school student (12-15 years old) have malocclusion requiring orthodontic care**Error! Reference source not found.** The care improves the physical

health (temporomandibular joint abnormalities, gingival and tooth trauma), articulation and masticatory function. Furthermore another study states that correction for tooth malocclusion should be done as early as possible (Prabhakar RR, Saravanan R, Karthikeyan MK, Vishnuchandran C, 2014).

Fixed appliance or fixed orthodontic appliance is a device directly attached to tooth surface. It corrects tooth function in mastication and aesthetical. The attachment of fixed appliance to tooth surface causes problem in tooth cleaning. It tends to form plaque around the bracket at tooth surface and dentin at free gingival. Correction using fixed appliance requires times. Thus, the patients using fixed appliance have to know dental health must be taught teh right to maintain their dental health to prevent formation of plaque in order to achieve good oral hygiene. Plaque retention will induce the formation of caries and periodontal infection. Plaque bacteria on the teeth is the main cause of gingivitis which is the incipient stage of periodontal tissue damage(Farook FF, Alrumi A, Aldalaan K, Ababneh K, Alshammari A, Al-Khamees AA, 2023).

METHODS

A cross sectional study is done in Poltekkes Kemenkes Medan among students with fixed appliances. Oral hygienes measured by Oral Hygiene Index-Simplified (OHI-S). This index consists of an oral debris score and calculus score. Numerical values are assigned to the six indicator teeth according to extraneous deposits present. The six surfaces examined for the OHI-S are selected from four posterior and two anterior teeth. Meanwhile knowledge, attitude and practices is measured using questionnaires. Univariate and bivariate analysis are performed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Out of 69 respondent, 41 students with high knowledge (59.4%), 43 students with good attitude (62.3%) and 30 students had good practiceon oral hygiene (43.4%). Results are depicted in Table 1.

Table 1. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice on Oral Hygiene

	n	(%)
Knowledge		
High	41	59.4
Average	24	38.4
Low	4	5.8
Attitude		0.285
Good	43	62.3
Moderate	19	27.5
Bad	7	10.2
Practice		
Good	30	43.4
Moderate	26	37.7
Bad	13	18.8

Table 2 showed that 73.9% of student are on fair criteria of OHI-S with average value of 2.64 and decay average value of 2.13. This means that on average each respondent had a decay of two teeth. The calculation obtained from the number of cavities of each respondent divided by the total respondent.

Table 2. OHI-S Categories and Average Value

	n	(%)	OHI-S Average Value	Decay Average Value		
Good	0	0				
Fair	51	73.9	2.64	2.12		
Poor	18	26.1	2.64	2.13		
Total	69	100				

^{*}OHI-S Good (0-1.2) Fair (1.3-3.0) Poor (3.1-6.0)

In Table 3, it is illustrated that 44 out of 69 students had crowded teeth without extraction (63.8%) and 39 students with gingivitis on 1 region (56.5%). Only 14 students (20.3%) had healthy gum.

Table 3. Oral Condition

	n	(%)
Oral Condition		
Tooth condition		
Crawded without tooth extraction	44	63.8
Crawded with tooth extraction	14	20.3
Uncorrected diastema	11	15.9
Gingiva Condition		
Well	14	20.3
Gingivitis on 1 region	39	56.5
Gingivitis > 1 region	16	21.2

Table 4. Association of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice with OHI-S

	OHI-S				
Fair]	Poor		
n	%	n	%	Total	p value

Knowledge						
Good	30	43.5	11	15.9	41	
Fair	17	24.6	7	10.2	24	0.528
Poor	4	5.8	0	0	4	
Total	51	73.9	18	26.1	69	
Attitude						
Good	32	46.3	11	16	43	
Fair	16	23.2	3	4.3	19	0.042
Poor	5	7.3	2	2.9	7	
Total	53	76.8	16	23.2	69	
Practice						
Good	30	43.5	0	0	30	
Fair	16	23.2	10	14.4	26	0.000
Poor	5	7.3	8	11.6	13	
Total	51	74	18	26	69	

Table 4 shows thatboth attitude and practice had significant association with OHI-S with p value of 0.042 and 0.000 respectively but not the respondent's knowledge with p 0.528.

DISCUSSION

Theory stated that the application, conservation and removal of fixed orthodontic appliances must be performed by an orthodontist**Error! Reference source not found.** In contrary, this study found that the most commonly used fixed appliances are students from the Dental Nursing Department (66.7%). Out of this number only 2.9% are performed by orthodontic. Out of total only 59.4% did their treatment at orthodontist which is the right place for installment of fixed orthodontic and 37.7% visit a dental assistant instead. This practice may be done due to a lower cost. However this later lead to an unwanted outcome. Treatment measures given by dental assistant most often are not in accordance with existing provision. This study found that there are 63.8% crowded teeth without removal. Meanwhile a fixed dental appliance should be securely fitted to a patient's teeth thus it can change the position accurately to create the desired effect. The purpose of tooth extraction in this matter is to provide crowded gear space so that the position of the teeth is neat.

The average OHI-S of 2.64 is consider fair and still higher than the national target of ≤2. To perform tooth conservation there is a major need to know properly the target of conservation namely correct tooth alignment as well as maintenance of oral hygiene during the conservation (Pattanaik, Snigdha; Veeraraghavan, Vishnu Priya1; Dasari, Arun Kumar2; Patil, Santosh R.3; Alzahrani, Saeed G.4; Fareed, 2024). The patients should be aware and able in preventing the

plaque accumulation. A research in Pakistan mentioned that bracket on the tooth surface inhibits the tooth brushing which is consequently formed a plaque around the brackett (Gehlot M, Sharma R, Tewari S, Kumar D, 2022). This is agreed by a study in Indonesia which mentioned that the use of special tooth brush will remove plaque from tooth surface easier and avoid bracket damage during tooth brushing (Chhibber A, Agarwal S, Yadav S, Kuo CL, 2018). It has been explained that pellicle with no microbes will formed on the tooth surface after tooth brushing (Agrawal A, Sawhney A, Panda S, Gupta N, Amol Khale P, Rathod V, 2023). Plaque will be formed once the pellicle was colonized by microbes. Plaque contains food remain, microbes, protein, and saliva(Papageorgiou SN, Antonoglou GN, Michelogiannakis D, Kakali L, Eliades T, 2022). Plaque is continuously formed in oral cavity and will be removed after tooth brushing. The OHI-S value greatly affected by having meal and not followed by tooth brushing (Veiga N, Figueiredo R, Correia P, Lopes P, Couto P, 2023).

The result of this study showed that there was relationship between attitude and practice with OHI-S. Attitude is a closed reaction on a certain stimulus or subject. Attitude is not considered as actions but is a predisposition of action (Naseri-salahshour et al., 2019). Attitude is a readiness to react for a particular object in a certain environment as a consequence of expression toward the object. Meanwhile practice is affected by perception. However it needs other factors or supporting conditions such as utilities. This study found that most respondents did not use a special tooth brush in the process. This was probably due to lack of information during the instalment of fixed appliance. Aside, the price of special tooth brush is quite high. Among those who used fixed applianced, a special tooth brush is needed because it can clean the food remain between the teeth and on the bracket which usually cannot be clean by regular tooth brush (Bahammam S, Chen CY, Ishida Y, Hayashi A, Ikeda Y, Ishii H, Kim DM, 2021).

CONCLUSION

This study found that the practice of tooth conservationdid not meet the standard procedures. There was a high number of crowded teeth without extraction (63.8%) and 56.5% experienced gingivitis at 1 region with decay rate 2.13. The average value of OHI-S among the respondents is still above the national target.

It is higly recommended for those who need fixed appliance to visit an orthodontis. A commitment of users to manage a good oral hygiene is greaty needed as well.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researchers would like to thank Medan Health Polytechnic of Ministry of Health and all related parties who have provided the opportunity for researchers to be able to take part in the

administrative selection and selection of research proposal substances until the research is carried out.

REFERENCES

Agrawal A, Sawhney A, Panda S, Gupta N, Amol Khale P, Rathod V, S. M. R. (2023). Comparison of the Efficacy of Different Oral Hygiene Aids in Maintaining Periodontal Health in Patients With Gingivitis. *Cureus*., *15*(8), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.44391 Bahammam S, Chen CY, Ishida Y, Hayashi A, Ikeda Y, Ishii H, Kim DM, N. S. (2021). Electric and Manual Oral Hygiene Routines Affect Plaque Index Score Differently. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, *18*(24):131.

Baxi S, Shadani K, Kesri R, Ukey A, Joshi C, H. H. (2022). Recent Advanced Diagnostic Aids in Orthodontics. *Cureus*, *14*(11), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.31921

Berk NW, Bush HD, Cavalier J, Kapur R, Studen-Pavlovich D, Sciote J, W. R. (2022).

Perception of orthodontic treatment need: opinion comparisons of orthodontists, pediatric dentists, and general practitioners. *J Orthod*., 29(4):287-.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ortho/29.4.287

Chhibber A, Agarwal S, Yadav S, Kuo CL, U. M. (2018). Which orthodontic appliance is best for oral hygiene? A randomized clinical trial. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, 153(2), 175–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2017.10.009
Farook FF, Alrumi A, Aldalaan K, Ababneh K, Alshammari A, Al-Khamees AA, A. F. (2023). The efficacy of manual toothbrushes in patients with fixed orthodontic appliances: a randomized clinical trial. *BMC Oral Health*, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-023-03035-6 Gehlot M, Sharma R, Tewari S, Kumar D, G. A. (2022). Effect of orthodontic treatment on periodontal health of periodontally compromised patients: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Angle Orthod.*, 92(3), 324–332. https://doi.org/10.2319/022521-156.1
Naseri-salahshour, V., Abredari, H., & Sabzaligol, M. (2019). The Effect of Oral Health

Promotion Program on Early Dental Decay in Students: a Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Caring Sci.*, 8(2), 105–110. https://doi.org/10.15171/jcs.2019.015

Papageorgiou SN, Antonoglou GN, Michelogiannakis D, Kakali L, Eliades T, M. P. (2022). Effect of periodontal – orthodontic treatment of teeth with pathological tooth flaring, drifting,

and elongation in patients with severe periodontitis: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *J Clin Periodontol*, 49, 102–120. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpe.13529

Pattanaik, Snigdha; Veeraraghavan, Vishnu Priya1; Dasari, Arun Kumar2; Patil, Santosh R.3; Alzahrani, Saeed G.4; Fareed, M. (2024). Orthodontic treatment in adults: Challenges, outcomes, and factors. *J Orthod Sci.*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.4103/jos.jos

Prabhakar RR, Saravanan R, Karthikeyan MK, Vishnuchandran C, S. (2014). Prevalence of Malocclusion and Need for Early Orthodontic Treatment in Children. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, 8(5), 60–61. https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2014/8604.4394
Riskesdas. (2017). *Health Research and Development Agency, Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia*. RI Ministry of Health.

Veiga N, Figueiredo R, Correia P, Lopes P, Couto P, F. G. (2023). Methods of Primary Clinical Prevention of Dental Caries in the Adult Patient: An Integrative Review. *Healthcare (Basel)*, 11(11):163, 1–17.